

Continued From Front

IV. CHARACTERIZATION OF SITE ACTIVITY

Indicate the major site activity(ies) and details relating to each activity by marking 'X' in the appropriate boxes.

A. TRANSPORTER	B. STORER	C. TREATER	D. DISPOSER
1. RAIL	1. PILE	1. FILTRATION	1. LANDFILL
2. SHIP	2. SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT	2. INCINERATION	2. LANDFARM
3. BARGE	3. DRUMS	3. VOLUME REDUCTION	3. OPEN DUMP
4. TRUCK	4. TANK, ABOVE GROUND	4. RECYCLING/RECOVERY	4. SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT
5. PIPELINE	5. TANK, BELOW GROUND	5. CHEM./PHYS. TREATMENT	5. MIGHTY DUMPING
6. OTHER (specify):	6. OTHER (specify):	6. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT	6. INCINERATION
		7. WASTE OIL REPROCESSING	7. UNDERGROUND INJECTION
		8. SOLVENT RECOVERY	8. OTHER (specify):
		9. OTHER (specify):	See details below

E. SPECIFY DETAILS OF SITE ACTIVITIES AS NEEDED Citizen complaint alleded disposal of asbestos wastes and fertilizer production wastes into sand pits along the Red River and at the plant site. See Attachment A.

V. WASTE RELATED INFORMATION

A. WASTE TYPE

☒ 1. UNKNOWN ☐ 2. LIQUID ☒ 3. SOLID ☐ 4. SLUDGE ☐ 5. GAS

B. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

☒ 1. UNKNOWN ☐ 2. CORROSIVE ☐ 3. IGNITABLE ☐ 4. RADIOACTIVE ☐ 5. HIGHLY VOLATILE
☐ 6. TOXIC ☐ 7. REACTIVE ☐ 8. INERT ☐ 9. FLAMMABLE

☐ 10. OTHER (specify):

C. WASTE CATEGORIES

1. Are records of waste available? Specify items such as manifests, inventories, etc. below.

Yes; on-site

2. Estimate the amount(specify unit of measure)of waste by category; mark 'X' to indicate which wastes are present.

a. SLUDGE	b. OIL	c. SOLVENTS	d. CHEMICALS	e. SOLIDS	f. OTHER
AMOUNT None	AMOUNT None	AMOUNT None	AMOUNT Unknown	AMOUNT Unknown	AMOUNT None
UNIT OF MEASURE	UNIT OF MEASURE	UNIT OF MEASURE	UNIT OF MEASURE	UNIT OF MEASURE	UNIT OF MEASURE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) PAINT, PIGMENTS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) OILY WASTES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) HALOGENATED SOLVENTS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) ACIDS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) FLYASH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) LABORATORY PHARMACEUT.
(2) METALS SLUDGES	(2) OTHER (specify):	(2) NON-HALOGENATED SOLVENTS	(2) PICKLING LIQUORS	X (2) ASBESTOS	(2) HOSPITAL
(3) POTW		(3) OTHER (specify):	(3) CAUSTICS	(3) MILLING/ MINE TAILINGS	(3) RADIOACTIVE
(4) ALUMINUM SLUDGE			(4) PESTICIDES	(4) FERROUS SMELTG. WASTES	(4) MUNICIPAL
(5) OTHER (specify):			(5) DYES/INKS	(5) NON-FERROUS SMELTG. WASTES	(5) OTHER (specify):
			(6) CYANIDE	(6) OTHER (specify):	
			(7) PHENOLS		
			(8) HALOGENS		
			(9) PCB		
			(10) METALS		
			X (11) OTHER (specify): Fertilizer wastes (alleged)		

V. WASTE RELATED INFORMATION (continued)

3. LIST SUBSTANCES OF GREATEST CONCERN WHICH MAY BE ON THE SITE (place in descending order of hazard).

Asbestos. Also, plant is a small quantity generator of various organic wastes (U080, U159, U002, U213) but these are disposed off-site within 90 days.

4. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF SITUATION KNOWN OR REPORTED TO EXIST AT THE SITE.

See Attachment A.

VI. HAZARD DESCRIPTION

A. TYPE OF HAZARD	B. POTENTIAL HAZARD (mark 'X')	C. ALLEGED INCIDENT (mark 'X')	D. DATE OF INCIDENT (mo., day, yr.)	E. REMARKS
1. NO HAZARD				
2. HUMAN HEALTH				
3. NON-WORKER INJURY/EXPOSURE				
4. WORKER INJURY	X			Potential hazard exists during asbestos waste handling
5. CONTAMINATION OF WATER SUPPLY				
6. CONTAMINATION OF FOOD CHAIN				
7. CONTAMINATION OF GROUND WATER				
8. CONTAMINATION OF SURFACE WATER				
9. DAMAGE TO FLORA/FAUNA				
10. FISH KILL				
11. CONTAMINATION OF AIR				
12. NOTICEABLE ODORS				
13. CONTAMINATION OF SOIL				
14. PROPERTY DAMAGE				
15. FIRE OR EXPLOSION				
16. SPILLS/LEAKING CONTAINERS/ RUNOFF/STANDING LIQUIDS				
17. SEWER, STORM DRAIN PROBLEMS				
18. EROSION PROBLEMS				
19. INADEQUATE SECURITY				
20. INCOMPATIBLE WASTES				
21. MIDNIGHT DUMPING				
22. OTHER (specify):				

VII. PERMIT INFORMATION

A. INDICATE ALL APPLICABLE PERMITS HELD BY THE SITE.

- ☐ 1. NPDES PERMIT ☐ 2. SPCC PLAN ☒ 3. STATE PERMIT (specify): TDWR WQ00724; TDWR SWR 30034
☐ 4. AIR PERMITS ☐ 5. LOCAL PERMIT ☐ 6. RCRA TRANSPORTER
☐ 7. RCRA STORER ☐ 8. RCRA TREATER ☐ 9. RCRA DISPOSER

☒ 10. OTHER (specify): RCRA Small Quantity Generator Exemption

B. IN COMPLIANCE?

- ☒ 1. YES ☐ 2. NO ☐ 3. UNKNOWN

4. WITH RESPECT TO (list regulation name & number): All permits

VIII. PAST REGULATORY ACTIONS

- ☒ A. NONE ☐ B. YES (summarize below)

IX. INSPECTION ACTIVITY (past or on-going)

- ☐ A. NONE ☒ B. YES (complete items 1, 2, 3, & 4 below)

1. TYPE OF ACTIVITY	2. DATE OF PAST ACTION (mo., day, & yr.)	3. PERFORMED BY: (EPA/State)	4. DESCRIPTION
RCRA Inspection	4/13/82	State (TDH)	Determination of RCRA status as a small quantity generator
Wastewater Treatment Inspections	Annual	State (TDWR)	Generally in compliance
Solid Waste Disposal Inspections	Annual	State (TDWR)	Generally in compliance

X. REMEDIAL ACTIVITY (past or on-going)

- ☒ A. NONE ☐ B. YES (complete items 1, 2, 3, & 4 below)

1. TYPE OF ACTIVITY	2. DATE OF PAST ACTION (mo., day, & yr.)	3. PERFORMED BY: (EPA/State)	4. DESCRIPTION

NOTE: Based on the information in Sections III through X, fill out the Preliminary Assessment (Section II) information on the first page of this form.

ATTACHMENT A

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE IDENTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT SUPPLEMENT SHEET

Instruction - This sheet is provided to give additional information in explanation of a question on the form T2070-2.

Corresponding number on form	Additional Remark and/or Explanation
III-C	The 450 acres was estimated from a USGS map and includes all property owned by Johns-Mansville at the north Texas site. The actual plant occupies only a fraction of this area. Surrounding land is farm land.
IV-E and V-C-4	<p>Complainant alleged in May 1979 that J-M was dumping fertilizer wastes and asbestos wastes into sand pits near the Red River and at the plant site.</p> <p>A follow-up inspection conducted by personnel from the TACB and the TDWR resulted in the attached file memorandum. It stated that the site was to be dropped from the list of hazardous waste sites because no problems were noted related to hazardous wastes other than asbestos.</p> <p>Further, a subsequent inspection by TDWR personnel regarding solid waste disposal (12/15/80) reported that the subject facility "appeared to be disposing of the asbestos products wastes properly."</p> <p>[File records suggest that fertilizer wastes are not generated or handled at the subject facility.</p> <p>Based on the findings of the follow-up inspection of the citizens complaint in 1979, and the subsequent inspection in 1980, no site inspection is recommended at this time.</p>

